

Q: What's your role at FCI?

AB: My primary role is as a physician. I'm a member of an 11-physician team that practices well together, and that's been an important part of our success. In addition, I'm a managing partner at FCI and Medical Director of the practice.

I'm also in charge of FCI Research and Education. We're involved in clinical trials of new methods and technologies. OBGYN residents in the Chicago area rotate through our offices, obtaining experience with various reproductive cases. As the Chair of the Midwestern Reproductive Symposium that FCI started 11 years ago, I'm involved in continuing medical education program development for physicians, nurses, allied health professionals, and scientists practicing or conducting research in reproductive medicine.

“Reproductive endocrinology is a field that's changing rapidly as new methods and technologies are developed.”

Q: How have the procedures and tools that you use to help people conceive changed since you entered the field in the mid-1990s?

AB: When I was a medical student, we were mainly performing GIFT and ZIFT procedures. IVF was less prevalent and egg retrievals were done mostly laparoscopically, and not with transvaginal ultrasound. Today, it would be unusual to utilize these techniques, except in rare cases.

Another change is the increasing popularity of third-party reproduction, which has resulted in more patients achieving pregnancies with egg donors and surrogacy. Furthermore, we now use a process called vitrification to freeze eggs and embryos that enables higher survival rates than a slow-freeze process.

IVF methods have progressed as well, with the push to wait until day 5 blastocyst culture for embryo transfer instead of waiting only 2 or 3 days. When the embryo begins to turn into a blastocyst, it blossoms and expands. A growing number of practices, including ours, are now waiting for day 5 blastocyst cultures to improve transfer success. In fact, getting to the optimal blastocyst stage might require us to wait until day 5, 6, or 7.

Finally, the development of PGS and trophectoderm biopsy provides us with a more effective way of screening embryos for genetic health. It's been a tremendous addition to our field.

Q: What's your experience with PGS and how has it changed the way you perform IVF cycles?

AB: In PGS's earliest days, FCI worked closely with industry pioneer Dr. Yury Verlinsky. He developed some of the very first PGS procedures in the United States.

Over the years, we've used a number of procedures to screen embryos. We began with single-gene testing and then moved on to aneuploidy screening using FISH and polar body biopsy techniques developed by Dr. Verlinsky. We later shifted to blastomere biopsying of the day 3 embryo, and now perform blastocyst trophectoderm biopsies day 5, 6, or 7. With older techniques such as fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH), there is a higher margin of subjectivity, because you're reading the colored probes that aren't always clear and possible misrepresentation of missing or adding chromosomes.

We now routinely perform trophectoderm biopsy and use the 24sure® assay or VeriSeq™ PGS to screen the chromosomes for aneuploidy. Both offer a significant improvement over FISH, which can screen only a limited number of chromosomes. PGS has become a powerful tool, enabling us to help couples have healthy pregnancies.

Q: Is FISH still used in some clinics?

AB: FISH is used in certain medical situations, but many clinics that have an active PGS program now screen all 24 chromosomes using single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) microarrays, comparative genomic hybridization (aCGH) microarrays such as 24sure, NGS using VeriSeq PGS kits and the MiSeq® System, or rapid quantitative fluorescent PCR (qtPCR). These tests are very useful in patients with advanced maternal age. We're hoping in the future that they will help us understand the reasons behind miscarriage and unravel the mysteries of recurrent pregnancy loss.

“A growing number of practices, including ours, are now waiting for day 5 blastocyst cultures to improve transfer success.”

Q: Why is the blastocyst stage the optimal stage for embryo biopsy?

AB: The risk of damage to the embryo is less when we biopsy at the more developed blastocyst stage. When you biopsy a day 3 embryo, its ability to turn into a blastocyst, successfully attach to the uterine lining, and advance in development might be compromised. A trophectoderm biopsy at day 5–7 enables us to obtain a few more cells in the biopsy. It provides us with more DNA to perform PGS on the sample.

also costly and important implications for older women if it's not performed.

Some women are concerned about multiple births. There is a movement in the United States and globally towards elective single embryo transfer. Patients and insurance companies like the idea that PGS enables us to select the one best embryo, avoiding the risk of multiple births of twins, triplets, or more.

“The impact of NGS on our field and medicine in general has been revolutionary.”

Q: What is the percentage of women who choose to have PGS of their embryos?

AB: About 10–30% of my patients choose to have PGS today. I see that percentage growing, as more people learn about it. Many people are surprised that such a technology exists, even doctors and nurses. I think the use of PGS will become commonplace as more women discuss it within infertility support groups and share how it's led to their successful pregnancies.

References

1. www.theworldcounts.com/stories/How-Many-Babies-Are-Born-Each-Day
2. Scott RT Jr, Ferry K, et al. Comprehensive chromosome screening is highly predictive of the reproductive potential of human embryos: a prospective, blinded, nonselection study. *Fertil Steril* 2012; 97(4): 870–875.
3. Tobias E, Connor JM, and Ferguson-Smith. *Essential medical genetics*. 2011, 6th edition: 243–247. Chichester, West Sussex, UK. Wiley-Blackwell.
4. Elster N. Less is more: the risks of multiple births. The Institute for Science, Law, and Technology Working Group on Reproductive Technology. *Fertil Steril* 2000; 74(4): 617–623.
5. Fauser BC and Devroey P. Reproductive biology and IVF: ovarian stimulation and luteal phase consequences. *Trends Endocrinol Metab* 2003; 14(5): 236–242.
6. Bosch E, Labarta E, et al. Circulating progesterone levels and ongoing pregnancy rates in controlled ovarian stimulation cycles for *in vitro* fertilization: analysis of over 4000 cycles. *Hum Reprod* 2010; 25(8): 2092–2100.
7. Al-Axemi M, Kyrou D, et al. Elevated progesterone during ovarian stimulation for IVF. *Reprod Biomed Online* 2012; 24(4): 381–388.
8. Shapiro, BS, Daneshmand, et al. Embryo cryopreservation rescues cycles with premature luteinization. *Fertil Steril* 2010; 93 (2): 63–641.

Glossary

Blastocyst - Early stage of an embryo, typically 5 days following fertilization; consists of a cavity, an inner cell mass, and an outer trophectoderm.

GIFT – Eggs and sperm are mixed before insertion into the fallopian tubes where fertilization can take place in the body.

ICSI – A variation of IVF in which the sperm is injected directly into the oocyte cytoplasm.

IVF – Fertilization of the egg by sperm in a petri dish, outside of the human body.

Oocyte - The female germ cell involved in reproduction that contains half the diploid number of chromosomes (haploid); also known as an egg cell

Preimplantation genetic screening (PGS) – Screening embryos or oocytes for the correct number and type of chromosomes; PGS does not look for a specific genetic disorder.

Trophectoderm – The outer layer of cells (adjacent to the zona pellucida) that forms a flat squamous epithelial layer of cells. Following blastocyst hatching, the trophoblast layer is involved with initial adhesion to the uterine wall and subsequent implantation within the wall.

Vitrification - A quick-freezing method used to preserve eggs and embryos allowing an egg taken in one cycle to be implanted in another.

ZIFT - Fertilized egg are inserted into the fallopian tubes within 24 hours of fertilization.